1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
10 11 12	SHELLY R. MCCLANAHAN, Plaintiff, v.	CASE NO. 2:15-cv-00112 RBL JRC REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	NOTED: AUGUST 12, 2016
15	Defendant.	
16	This matter has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura	
17	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 4(a)(4), and as	
18	authorized by Mathews, Secretary of H.E.W. v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 271-72 (1976). Before	
19	the Court is plaintiff's motion for continuance (Dkt. 20).	
20	Because plaintiff twice has failed to follow this Court's Orders, and because plaintiff has	
21	not availed herself of the opportunity to obtain an attorney in the generous amount of time	
22	already allowed, the Court recommends that plaintiff's motion for continuance (Dkt. 20) be	
23		
24	.l	

denied and that this matter be dismissed for failure to prosecute and for failure to follow this Court's orders.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff filed this action early in 2015. Defendant filed her answer and the Administrative Record on December 29, 2015 (Dkt. 14). The Court issued the Order Setting Briefing Schedule on January 4, 2016 (*see* Dkt. 15). Plaintiff failed to follow this Court's Order by failing to file her Opening Brief on February 1, 2016 (*see id.*). Defendant filed a motion to dismiss on February 29, 2016 after plaintiff failed to file her Opening Brief (Dkt. 18). The Court ordered plaintiff to show cause by April 27, 2016 why this matter should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution (Dkt. 19).

On April 26, 2016, plaintiff filed a motion for continuance, requesting a 60-day continuance in her Social Security disability appeal in order to obtain counsel (Dkt. 20). The Court granted plaintiff's motion for continuance and ordered a new briefing schedule wherein plaintiff's Opening Brief was due on or before June 29, 2016 (Dkt. 21).

On June 30, 2016, one day after plaintiff's Opening Brief was due, plaintiff filed her second motion for a 60-day continuance, again in the hope of obtaining counsel within that time (Dkt. 22).

DISCUSSION

Plaintiff has had almost eighteen months to obtain counsel and has been unsuccessful. The Court twice has provided plaintiff with the briefing requirements with specific instructions on what should be included in the Opening Brief (*see* Dkts. 15, 21). In addition, when providing plaintiff with a continuance, the Court specifically informed plaintiff that any "motion[] to extend time or page limitations must be noted on the Court's calendar prior to the due date [June

29, 2016] " (Dkt. 21, p. 2). However, plaintiff did not comply with this Order, and instead filed her motion for extension on June 30, 2016 (see Dkt. 22). **CONCLUSION** Plaintiff failed to file her opening brief, failed to file a response to the motion to dismiss, and then failed to file a second request for continuance in a timely manner. Finally, as plaintiff has not availed herself of the opportunity to obtain an attorney in the generous amount of time already allowed, the Court recommends that plaintiff's motion for continuance (Dkt. 22) be denied and that this matter be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and for failure to follow this Court's orders. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties shall have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of de novo review by the district judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Rule 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on August 12, **2016**, as noted in the caption. Dated this 20th day of July, 2016. J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24